# NRC/Agreement States Working Group on Termination of Uranium Mill Licenses in Agreement States

# CONFERENCE CALL SUMMARY July 31, 2001 2-4 pm EDT

<u>Participants</u>			
Kevin Hsueh*	NRC/STP	301-415-2598	KPH@NRC.GOV
Ted Johnson	NRC/NMSS	301-415-6658	TLJ@NRC.GOV
Dan Rom	NRC/NMSS	301-415-6704	DSR@NRC.GOV
Mike Layton	NRC/NMSS	301-415-6676	MCL@NRC.GOV
Maria Schwartz	NRC/OGC	301-415-1888	MES@NRC.GOV
Phil Egidi	CO/CDPHE	303-692-3083	PHIL.EGIDI@STATE.CO.US
Gary McCandless	IL/IDNS	217-782-1329	MCCANDLESS@IDNS.STATE.IL.US
Gary Smith	TX/BRC	512-834-6688	GARY.SMITH@TDH.STATE.TX.US
Rob Herbert	UT/DEQ	801-536-0046	RHERBERT@DEQ.STATE.UT.US
Dorothy Stoffel*	WA/WDOH	509-456-3166	DOROTHY.STOFFEL@DOH.WA.GOV
John Hamrick	UMETCO	970-256-8820	HAMRICJS@UCARB.COM
	Minerals Corporation/NMA		
Tom Gieck	UMETCO	970-256-8889	GIECKTE@UCARB.COM
	Minerals Corporation		

<sup>\*</sup> Co-Chair

#### 1. General Discussions

- Ž Kevin Hsueh reported that the WG charter has been approved by Kathy Allen, Chair, OAS, Paul Lohaus, Director, STP and Marty Virgilio, Director, NMSS.
- X Kevin Hsueh reported that WG web site will be updated with the latest version of WG related documents sometime in August.
- Ž In the middle of August, WG plans to send a draft revision of the STP Procedure SA-900 to NRC offices for review and comment, post the procedure on the WG web site for public comment, and announce the availability of the procedure via a Federal Register notice and an All Agreement State letter.
- **Ž** WG agreed to change its last conference call from September 5 to September 26 so that WG will have an opportunity to review and discuss comments received from NRC offices and stakeholders on the procedure.

## 2. Status of Specific Tasks

#### Task 1. Draft revision of the STP SA-900 Procedure

WG reviewed and discussed changes made to the June 12 version of the procedure. Major changes included addition of language to cover (1) the use of two step review approach, i.e., review of draft CRRs prior to final CRRs, (2) the scope of NRC review of CRRs, and (3) NRC staff site visits. In addition, WG discussed a statement defining "all applicable standards and requirements " used in the procedure and noted that the statement may need to be revised. [Also see discussions under item 4 (Discussion/comments from participants)]

## Task 2. Sample CRR for Conventional Uranium Mill

- **Ž** WG agreed to clarify the column title "TER Sections" in Table 1 by noting that it refers to sections in TERs or other equivalent reference documents.
- Ž WG agreed to include a statement at the beginning of the Appendix B [sample CRR (conventional)] to advise the reader as to how the document may be used to prepare a CRR.
- Ž In the groundwater remediation section (section 4.2), it was suggested that a statement regarding post-closure monitoring of permeable reactive barriers be included. WG will work on a statement to be included in section 4.2.
- WG reviewed and discussed three Tables in the radiation cleanup and control section (section 3.1). These Tables replace original five Tables in the June 12 version of the procedure and are included to provide summaries of radiation measurement data and soil sample analysis results. WG agreed that the information included in these Tables provides a good summary of the radiation measurement and sample results.

## Task 3. Sample CRR for Non-conventional Uranium Mill

As noted in Task 2, a similar statement will be added to the beginning of the Appendix C [sample CRR (non-conventional)] to advise the reader on the use of the document.

#### Task 4. WG recommendations

**Ž** WG has no additional recommendations under Task 4 since the Denver WG meeting. The only WG recommendation regarding NRC staff site visits has been incorporated into the procedure.

#### 3. Discussions/comments from participants

- Mr. Hamrick expressed his view regarding the term "applicable standards and requirements" defined in the procedure. Specifically he commented that the applicable standards and requirements should be limited to those as stated in the Atomic Energy Act. Some site specific license conditions and requirements may not be part of the applicable standards and requirements and should not be included in the CRR. WG will reconsider the current language and make changes or clarifications, if necessary.
- Mr. Hamrick participated in WG's discussion on his comments in an e-mail, dated May 31, 2001, as documented in the May 30 conference call summary. As a result of the discussion, several of his comments will be incorporated into the procedure.