

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF PENNSYLVANIA
April 9, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

Management Review Board

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO
Scott Moore, MRB Member, NMSS
Mary Spencer, MRB Member, OGC

Jack Giessner, Region III
Steve Harrison, OAS Liaison, VA

IMPEP Team

Randy Erickson, Team Leader, Region IV
John Miller, Region I
Robert Gallagher, Region I

Dennis O'Dowd, Region III
Angela Wilbers, KY

State of Pennsylvania

David Allard, PA
Robert Zacano, PA
John Chipppo, PA

Terry Derstine, PA
Barb Bookser, PA
Lisa Forney, PA

Staff

Kevin Williams, NMSS/MSST
Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST
Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST

Duncan White, NMSS/MSST
Monica Ford, Region I RSAO
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST
Joe O'Hara, NMSS/MSST

Members of the Public

Daniel Samson, New York

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. Pennsylvania IMPEP Review. Mr. Randy Erickson, Team Leader in Training, led the presentation of the Pennsylvania Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. He summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and the Commonwealth of Kentucky during the period of January 7-11, 2019. A draft report was issued to Pennsylvania for factual comment on February 1, 2019, and they responded with minor comment on March 13, 2019. Mr. Erickson reported that the team found Pennsylvania's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.
3. Performance Indicators.
 - a) Mr. John Miller reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania

representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- b) Ms. Angela Wilbers reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- c) Mr. John Miller reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Materials Inspections**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- d) Mr. Robert Gallagher and Ms. Angela Wilbers reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Mr. Gallagher presented the teams findings. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- e) Mr. Dennis O'Dowd reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegeders' identities.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed. The MRB also unanimously agreed with the team's recommendation that the recommendation identified during the 2009 IMPEP review, and extended during the 2104 IMPEP review, should be closed.

- f) Mr. Randy Erickson reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. His presentation corresponded to

Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Pennsylvania representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found Pennsylvania's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the Pennsylvania Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 5 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2.5 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML19105B133.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:15 p.m. (ET)