

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF ALABAMA
AUGUST 6, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

Management Review Board

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO
John Lubinski, MRB Member, NMSS
Mary Spencer, MRB Member, OGC

Mark Shaffer, Region IV
David Allard, OAS Liaison, PA

IMPEP Team

Lance Rakovan, Team Leader, NMSS
Monica Ford, Region I
Jack Tway, New Jersey

Geoff Warren, Team Leader in Training, Region III
Shawn Seely, Region I

State of Alabama

David Tuberville

Staff

Kevin Williams, NMSS/MSST
Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST
Michelle Beardsley, NMSS/MSST
John Trapp, Region I

Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST
Duncan White, NMSS/MSST
Joe Nick, Region I

Members of the Public

Jennifer Opila, CO
Jeff Dauzat, LA

Angela Leek, IA
Morgan Bullock, WA

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. Alabama IMPEP Review. Mr. Lance Rakovan, Team Leader, led the presentation of the Alabama Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. He summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and the State of New Jersey during the period of May 20-24, 2019. A draft report was issued to Alabama for factual comment on June 20, 2019, and responded by letter with minor comment on July 12, 2019. Mr. Rakovan reported that the team found Alabama's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.

3. Performance Indicators.

- a) Mr. Lance Rakovan reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- b) Mr. Geoff Warren, Team Leader in Training, reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- c) Ms. Monica Ford reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Inspections**. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions. The team recommended that Alabama assess its industrial radiography inspection program with respect to temporary jobsites to determine whether any changes are necessary.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed. The MRB also agreed with the team's recommendation.

- d) Mr. Jack Tway reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Ms. Elliot presented the team's findings. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- e) Mr. Shawn Seely reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegeders' identities.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

- f) Mr. Lance Rakovan reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. His presentation corresponded to Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Alabama representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found Alabama's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the Alabama Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 4 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML19224A666.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:15 p.m. (ET)