1.0 INTRODUCTION

The team found that the Division's inspection priorities required inspections as frequent as, or more frequent than, IMC 2800 for similar license types. For example, the inspection of well-

To evaluate the reciprocity inspection program, the review team evaluated a manually kept log of reciprocity inspections, reciprocity inspection files, and the Division's response to the IMPEP questionnaire. In the IMPEP questionnaire response, the team noted that the breakdown by inspection priority of licensees granted reciprocity reflects the Division's assigned inspection priorities. In many cases, the Division's reciprocity priorities exceed that of the NRC. Overall during the review period, the Division did meet the IMC 1220 goals, although that is not reflected in the data provided in the Division's response to the IMPEP questionnaire.

The criteria in Management Directive 5.6 allow that in programs where management addresses

the status of the materials inspection program, a finding of satisfactory is supported as opposed to a satisfactory with recommendations for improvement or unsatisfactory finding. In this case, consistent with this criteria for a satisfactory rating, Division management was aware of the

inspections, and balancing staff workload to bring the program up-to-date t the time of the review.

Based on the IMPEP evaluation criteria, the review team recommends that Mississippi's performance with respect to the indicator, Status of the Materials Inspection Program, be found satisfactory.

3.2 Technical Quality of Inspections

The team evaluated the inspection reports, enforcement documentation, and inspection field notes and interviewed inspectors for 21 inspections conducted during the review period. The casework

adequacy with case-specific comments.

Based on the casework, the review team noted that routine inspections covered all aspects of the licensees' radiation programs. The review team found that inspection reports were thorough,

violations, and recommendations made to the licensee, unresolve]Tsafety issues, and discussions held with the licensee during exit interviews. Team inspections were performe]Twhen appropriate and for training purposes.

The inspection procedures utilize]Tby the Branch were consistent with the inspection guidance outlined in NRC's IMC 2800, although the review team discussed the addition of language regarding root cause evaluation to the procedures. Inspection reports are in a form t th t covers all inspection areas for each inspection type.

access to a very well-equipped on-site laboratory, which includes a multi-channel analyzer system, three high trinalxn nmh ier

supervisory review as indicated; and proper signature authority. The files were checked for retention of necessary documents and supporting data.

The licensing casework was selected to provide a representative sample of licensing actions that were completed during the review period. The sampling included the following types: well logging, industrial radiography, medical (institution, private practice, broad scope, and gamma knife), nuclear pharmacy, academic broad scope, irradiator, research and development, analytical, and portable gauge licenses. Types of licensing actions selected for evaluation included seven new licenses, 15 amendments to existing licenses, and two license terminations. A list of the licensing casework evaluated with case-specific comments can be found in Appendix D.

Overall, the review team found that the licensing actions were thorough, complete, consistent, of high quality and properly addressed health and safety issues. The staff followed appropriate licensing guides during the review process to ensure that licensees submit information necessary

Mississippi Final Report

until such time as the State has been designat8T as a host State for a LLRW disposal actility.
When an Agreement State has been noifai8T or becomes aware of the ne8T to regulate a LLRW
disposal actility, they are expect8T to put in place a regulatory program which will meet the cs/h wilTf stiliTte a LLR

LIST OF APPENDICES AND ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A

APPENDIX B

MISSISSIPPI ORGANIZATION CHARTS
ML011730210

h

alth

A. MB

U01

ale
ation

ATTACHMENT

July 9_ 2001 Letter from Robert W. Goff
Mississippi's Response to Draft IMPEP Report
ML011940004