



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 4, 2016

ALL AGREEMENT STATES, VERMONT, WYOMING

NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN ADMINISTRATION OF THE INTEGRATED MATERIALS
PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM VIEWPOINT SURVEY (STC-16-031)

Purpose: To notify Agreement States that the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Viewpoint Survey will be administered electronically.

Background: In Fiscal Year (FY) 2015, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) piloted a satisfaction survey following completion of the IMPEP review. The results of the satisfaction surveys are intended to be used as part of a self-assessment performed periodically by NMSS in accordance with NMSS Procedure SA-123, "*Conducting Self-Assessments of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)*." In FY 2015, NMSS requested that IMPEP viewpoint surveys be completed by Agreement State or NRC Program staff and IMPEP team members, following an IMPEP review. The surveys were administered as a text document to be submitted via e-mail to the NRC upon completion.

Discussion: Going forward, IMPEP participants and Agreement State and NRC materials programs will be requested to complete a voluntary survey using an electronic survey tool. The NRC staff have created an electronic survey to facilitate use and encourage an increase in the number of responses. Following the Management Review Board meeting for an IMPEP review, the program director and the IMPEP team will receive a request to complete the viewpoint survey. All requests will be made in the form of an email which will contain a link to the electronic survey. Upon receiving the link, please complete and submit your response by selecting the "done" button. For your information, a copy of the IMPEP viewpoint survey in text format is enclosed. Survey responses are used solely by the NRC for the self-assessment of IMPEP.

STC-16-031

2

If you have any questions regarding this communication, please contact the individual named below:

POINT OF CONTACT: Elizabeth Doolittle
TELEPHONE: (301) 415-6424

EMAIL: Elizabeth.Doolittle@nrc.gov

/RA/

Daniel S. Collins, Director
Division of Material Safety, State, Tribal
and Rulemaking Programs
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Enclosure:
IMPEP Viewpoint Survey FY 2016,
Agreement States and Regions

IMPEP VIEWPOINT SURVEY

Agreement State and NRC Materials Programs FY 2016

The NRC's Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards is performing a satisfaction survey of its administration of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The satisfaction survey will cover IMPEP reviews of Agreement State radiation control programs and NRC materials programs performed during fiscal year 2016. Also the satisfaction survey will provide useful information for management decision making regarding areas where NRC should dedicate more resources or management attention.

Please answer the following questions based on your involvement and experience during the IMPEP review you supported in FY2016.

- 1. How would you rate the review team with regard to their preparation and knowledge in their respective technical areas?**

	Poor	Needs Improvement	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- 2. Did you find the questionnaire helpful for preparing for the IMPEP on site review?**

	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- 3. Were the review team's questions based on the requirements in Management Directive 5.6, Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program?**

	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- 4. Did the review look at the most important aspects of the program?**

	Not at all	Slightly	Neutral / Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Did the review result in a report that was consistent with IMPEP criteria?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Did the report accurately reflect the strengths and areas for improvement of the program?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Did the Management Review Board (MRB) add value to the IMPEP review process and was it consistent with Management Directive 5.6?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Was the Team Leader effective in conducting a thorough and fair review of your program?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Is the format of the report useful?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. Is the report an accurate record of the results of the review?

			Neutral /			
	Not at all	Slightly	Undecided	Mostly	Definitely	N/A
Rating	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Please comment on any aspect of the IMPEP review process that you think worked well, and/or did not work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve the IMPEP process?

12. Please comment on any aspect of the MRB evaluation that you think worked well, and/or did not work well. Do you have any suggestions to improve the MRB process?

13. Additional comments you would like to add?

PLEASE PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION (OPTIONAL):

14. Last Name (Family Name/Surname):

15. First Name:

16. Please select the Agreement State or NRC program reviewed:

17. Work email address:

Please provide an email address for the purpose of feedback or follow-up.