

MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF NEW JERSEY
JUNE 18, 2019

THE MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING ATTENDEES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

Management Review Board

K. Steven West, MRB Chair, OEDO
John Lubinski, MRB Member, NMSS
Brian Harris, MRB Member, OGC

Jack Giessner, Region III
David Howe, OAS Liaison, OR

IMPEP Team

Randy Erickson, Team Leader, Region IV
Joseph O'Hara, NMSS

John Miler, Region I
Tyler Kruse, MN

State of New Jersey

Jenny Goodman
Karen Flanigan
Catherine Beil

Paul Orlando
James McCullough

Staff

Andrea Kock, NMSS/MSST
Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST
Joe Nick, Region I
Monica Ford, Region I RSAO
Kathy Modes, NMSS/MSST

Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST
Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST
Duncan White, NMSS/MSST
Jim Trapp, Region I
John Miller, Region I RSAO

TOPICS DISCUSSED DURING THE MEETING INCLUDED:

1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
2. New Jersey IMPEP Review. Mr. Randy Erickson led the presentation of the New Jersey Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. He summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and the State of Minnesota during the period of March 25-29, 2019. A draft report was issued to New Jersey for factual comment on April 26, 2019, and they responded with minor comment on May 6, 2019. Mr. Erickson reported that the team found New Jersey's performance was satisfactory on all indicators reviewed.

3. Performance Indicators.

- a) Mr. Joseph O'Hara reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Staffing and Training**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- b) Mr. John Miller reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Status of Materials Inspection Program**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- c) Mr. John Miller reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Materials Inspections**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey representatives briefly discussed the status of inspection actions.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- d) Mr. Tyler Kruse reviewed the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Licensing Actions**. Mr. Kruse presented the teams findings. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- e) Mr. Joseph O'Hara reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, **Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities**. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and allegations received during the review period.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- f) Mr. Joseph O'Hara reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, **Compatibility Requirements**. His presentation corresponded to Section 4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and New Jersey representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them.

The team found New Jersey's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB agreed, that the New Jersey Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 5 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2.5 years. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML19176A361.
5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:10 p.m. (ET)