MINUTES: MANAGEMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETING OF MAINE OCTOBER 10, 2019

The meeting attendees were as follows:

Management Review Board

John Lubinski, NMSS, MRB Chair Adam Geneldman, OGC Scott Morris, Region IV James Grice, OAS Representative (CO) Kevin Williams, NMSS

IMPEP Team

Dr. Lizette Roldan-Otero, NMSS/MSST Maria Arribas-Colon, NMSS/MSST Monica Ford, Region I Brian Goretzki, State of Arizona

State of Maine

Jay Hyland

Tom Hillman

Staff

Michael Layton, NMSS/MSST James Trapp, RI Paul Michalak, NMSS/MSST Robert Johnson, NMSS/MSST Duncan White, NMSS/MSST John Miller, RI Jazel Parks, NMSS/MSST Thomas Lancaster, NMSS/MSST Joe O'Hara, NMSS/MSST John Thorp, NRC/OIG Janelle Wiggs, NRC/OIG

Members of the Public

James Grice, CO Phillip Peterson, CO Mike Stevens, FL Terry Derstine, PA Jeff Dauzat, LA David Crowley, NC Kevin Kunder, FL

Topics discussed during the meeting included:

- 1. Convention. Mr. Robert Johnson convened the meeting at approximately 1:00 p.m. (ET). He noted that this Management Review Board (MRB) meeting was open to the public, with an opportunity for public comment after the business portion of the meeting. Introductions of the attendees were conducted.
- 2. Maine IMPEP Review. Dr, Lizette Roldan-Otero, Team Leader, led the presentation of the Maine Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) review results to the MRB. She summarized the review and the team's findings for the indicators reviewed. The on-site review was conducted by a team composed of technical staff members from the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), and the State of Arizona during the period of July 22-26, 2019. A draft report was issued to Maine for factual comment on August 16, 2019. Dr. Roldan-Otero reported that the team found Maine's performance was satisfactory for six indicators reviewed; and satisfactory, but needs improvement for Compatibility Requirements. The team also recommended that the recommendation from the 2015 IMPEP review under Compatibility Requirements should be closed, and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- 3. Performance Indicators.
 - a) Dr. Lizette Roldan-Otero reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, *Technical Staffing and Training*. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives briefly discussed the status of the staff hired during the review period and the impact of vacancies on the Agreement State Program.
 - The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.
 - b) Ms. Maria Arribas-Colon reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, *Status of Materials Inspection Program*. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives briefly discussed inspection findings.
 - The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.
 - c) Dr. Roldan-Otero reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, Technical Quality of Inspections. Her presentation corresponded to Section 3.3 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives discussed the status of inspection activities.
 - The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.
 - d) Mr. Brian Goretzki reviewed the common performance indicator, *Technical Quality of Licensing Actions*. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.4 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine's representatives discussed the team's findings, as well as the actions the program had taken to address them. In addition, the MRB, recommended that the IMPEP team revise the IMPEP report to expand on some of the topics discussed (e.g., lack of maximum possession limits for industrial radiography licenses and implementation of Pre-Licensing Guidance).
 - The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.
 - e) Mr. Brian Goretzki reviewed and presented the common performance indicator, *Technical Quality of Incident and Allegation Activities*. His presentation corresponded to Section 3.5 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives discussed incidents of "high risk" and protecting allegers' identities.
 - The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.
 - f) Dr. Roldan-Otero reviewed and presented the non-common performance indicator, Compatibility Requirements. Her presentation corresponded to Section

4.1 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives discussed the State's overdue regulations, and program elements, as well as the actions the program has taken to address them. In addition, the MRB, recommended that in the report, the team clarify which RATS IDs were overdue at the time of the review. The team agreed to modify to final report to include these amendments.

The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "unsatisfactory.". With the exception of one MRB member, the MRB agreed with the team's recommendation. The sole dissenting voter did not agree with the finding of satisfactory, but needs improvement due to actions taken by the Program at the end of the review period.

g) Ms. Maria Arribas-Colon reviewed the non-common performance indicator, **Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program.** Her presentation corresponded to Section 4.2 of the proposed final IMPEP report. The MRB, the team, and Maine representatives discussed the training and qualification of staff, including the updating of the training qualification journal.

The team found Maine's performance with respect to this indicator to be "satisfactory" and the MRB unanimously agreed.

- 4. MRB Consultation/Comments on Issuance of Report. The team recommended, and the MRB unanimously agreed, that the Maine Agreement State Program be found adequate to protect public health and compatible with the NRC's program. The team recommended that the next IMPEP review take place in approximately 4 years with a periodic meeting in approximately 2 years. In addition, the team recommended and the MRB unanimously agreed that the recommendation from the 2011 IMPEP review be closed. The final report may be found in the ADAMS using the Accession Number ML19288A291.
- 5. Precedents/Lessons Learned. None
- 6. Comments from Members of the Public. None
- 7. Adjournment. The meeting was adjourned at approximately 2:30 p.m. (ET)

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 10, 2019 MAINE MRB MEETING

ADAMS ACCESSION NO: ML19297G966

OFFICE	MSST/SALB	MSST/SALB	MSST/SALB
NAME	JParks	LRoldan-Otero	RJohnson
DATE	10/23/19	10/24 /19	10/24/19

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY